## WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal. & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - OA 931 of 2014.

Sibananda Dey @ Shibananda Dey - Vs $\underline{-}$  State of W.B. & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
15 13.07.2018.	For the Applicant : Mrs. Malabika Roy Dey, Ld. Adv.	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. R.A. Chowdhury, Ld. Adv.	
	In this application, the applicant , appointed	
	provisionally as a cook on 21st November, 1981 and	
	confirmed on 22 <sup>nd</sup> December, 1984 subject to satisfactory	
	report with regard to Police verification and Medical	
	examination, has challenged the order of termination	
	dated 18 <sup>th</sup> July, 2014 issued by the Director of Health	
	Services & Office of Secretary to the Department of	
	Health & Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal,	
	the respondent no. 2(ii).	
	It appears that the service of the applicant was	
	terminated, as evident from annexure "C", on the	
	following ground :-	
	"And whereas the	
	said Shri Sibananda Dey joined in	
	service in the forenoon of 22-12-	
	1981 at Bhat Kunda P.H.C. under	

SIBANANDA DEY @ SHIBANANDA DEY
--------------------------------

Form No.

Vs.

## The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
	Jamtara BPHC, Burdwan.	
	And whereas the Dy.	
	Inspector General of Police,	
	Intelligence Branch, 13, Lord	
	Sinha Road, Kolkata – 71 in his	
	No. 7295/S/146/2013/SB-I/VR	
	dated 05-08-2013 has informed	
	that the Government under his	
	No. 996-ISS dated 25-07-2013 of	
	Home Department, Internal	
	Security Branch, Secret Section,	
	Government of West Bengal has	
	declared Shri Sibananda Dey	
	"Unsuitable" for employment to	
	the post of "Cook".	
	And as such, on	
	going through the relevant	
	papers/documents in respect of	
	the case of Shri Sibananda Dey,	
	Cook, and after applying my full	
	mind on to it, I, the Director of	
	Health Services & e.o. Secretary	
	to the Department of Health &	

SIBANANDA DEY @ SHIBANANDA DEY.

Form No.

\*\*\*\*

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 931</u> Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	F.W. , West Bengal, being the	
	authority competent to draw	
	disciplinary proceeding and	
	imposition of penalty upon him,	
	hold the view that the said Shri	
	Sibananda Dey has failed to fulfil	
	the criteria laid down in the order	
	of appointment and accordingly	
	order, in accordance with No.	
	996-ISS dated 25-07-2013 of	
	Home Department, Internal	
	Security Branch, Secret Section,	
	Government of West Bengal that	
	the services of Shri Sibananda	
	Dey be terminated with	
	immediate effect"	
	Challenging the said order, the applicant had	
	moved before this Tribunal. The matter was admitted on	
	16 <sup>th</sup> December, 2014 when directions were issued for	
	filing of affidavits. However, the prayer for Interim order	
	was declined by the Tribunal.	
	Being aggrieved by the order dated 16 <sup>th</sup>	
	December, 2014 declining to grant ad-interim order, the	

SIBANANDA	DFY	<b>ര</b>	<b>SHIBANANDA</b>	DFY
		بب		

Form No.

- -

# Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 931</u>	OF 2014	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	petitioner filed a Writ Petition before the High Court,	
	being WPST No. 30 of 2015, which was disposed of on 3 <sup>rd</sup>	
	February, 2015 by passing an order, the relevant portion	
	of which is as under :-	
	"Normally, a confirmed	
	employee could not be	
	terminated without initiating any	
	disciplinary proceeding. In any	
	event, the Tribunal has not yet	
	decided the entire issue on merits	
	and directed the parties to file	
	affidavit in the matter.	
	However, if any interim	
	order is passed to allow the	
	petitioner to join his duties, the	
	same cannot virtually dispose of	
	the original application itself.	
	The petitioner herein	
	approached the Learned Tribunal	
	challenging the order of	
	termination dated 18 <sup>th</sup> July, 2014	
	and the learned Tribunal	
	considering the balance of	

Form No.

\/c

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <b>OA 931 OF 20</b>	<u></u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	convenience and inconvenience	
	should have passed an interim	
	order protecting the interests of	
	the said petitioner during the	
	pendency of the original	
	application.	
	For the aforementioned	
	reasons, we direct the learned	
	Tribunal to decide the issues on	
	merits as early as possible after	
	filing of the affidavits by the	
	parties and pending disposal of	
	the said Original Application, the	
	impugned order passed by the	
	Director of Health Services, West	
	Bengal dated 18 <sup>th</sup> July, 2014 is	
	stayed and the respondents are	
	directed to allow the petitioner to	
	resume his duties to the post of	
	Cook immediately.	
	With the aforesaid	
	directions, we dispose of this writ	
	petition without expressing any	

Form No.

Ve

# Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <b>OA 931</b>	<u>UF 2014</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	final opinion with regard to any	
	issue raised by the petitioner	
	herein before the learned	
	Tribunal on merits and the	
	learned Tribunal will be at liberty	
	to decide all the issues on merits	
	without being influenced of any	
	observations made by us in this	
	writ petition.	
	Needless to mention that	
	the respondent authorities herein	
	will allow the petitioner herein to	
	resume his duties forthwith and	
	pay all admissible salary and	
	allowances regularly.	
	In the facts of the present	
	case, there will, however, be no	
	order as to costs"	
	Thereafter, the matter came up for hearing on	
	several occasions. Time to file reply was extended.	
	However, till date no reply has been filed.	
	Learned Advocate for the applicant submits	
	that as the applicant had joined in the year 1981 in	

Form No.

Vs.

VS.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	accordance with law and as his services were confirmed	
	in the year 1984, as evident from page 31 of the	
	application, it is surprising that after thirty years of	
	service, he has been found unsuitable by the Director of	
	Health Services on the basis of a police report and has	
	thus been terminated stating no reasons whatsoever.	
	Hence, it is prayed that the order of termination may be	
	set aside and direction be issued for reinstatement. On	
	query it is submitted that after the Hon'ble High Court	
	had passed the order on 3 <sup>rd</sup> February, 2015, his client had	
	joined the service and had retired on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018.	
	Learned Advocate for the State submits that	
	though no reply could be filed, the actions are in	
	accordance with law.	
	Heard Learned Advocates for the parties.	
	Evidently, the petitioner had joined in the year 1981.His	
	service was confirmed in the year 1984 which was subject	
	to "satisfactory report of police verification and medical	
	examination". It appears that he was "Confirmed in the	
	service w.e.f. 22.12.1984" pursuant to the order dated	
	10 <sup>th</sup> November, 1999 passed by the CMOH, Burdwan.	
	Evidently, the impugned order of termination was passed	
	after thirty years from the date of confirmation.	

SIBANANDA DEY @ SHIBANANDA DEY.

Form No.

Ve

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	In our view, the contention of the applicant	
	has to be sustained on two grounds. First, as in spite of	
	repeated opportunities, the respondents have not filed	
	any reply controverting the statements in the application,	
	the entire issue is covered by the "Doctrine of Non	
	traverse". Thus the statements made in the application	
	are deemed to have been admitted by the respondents.	
	That apart, it is surprising that after a gap of about thirty	
	years the applicant has been found 'Unsuitable' for	
	appointment and his service has been terminated.	
	Unsuitability has not been spelt out. Hence such action is	
	high handed, arbitrary and illegal. Therefore, for the	
	reasons as stated hereinbefore, the order of termination	
	is set aside and quashed. The application is allowed.	
	Adverse entries, if any, in the service records of the	
	applicant stand automatically deleted. The period of	
	absence, if any, after the order of termination, which has	
	been set aside by this order and subsequent rejoining in	
	service by the applicant pursuant to the interim order	
	passed by the Hon'ble High Court, shall be treated as on	
	duty.	
	Accordingly, the Respondents The Secretary,	
	Department of Health & Family Welfare, Swasthya	

Sibananda dey @	) SHIBANANDA DEY.
-----------------	-------------------

F	O	r	n	n	Ν	Jc	١.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	Bhavan and the Director of Health Services, Department	
	of Health & Family Welfare, Swasthya Bhavan, Kolkata,	
	are directed to disburse the salary and pensionary	
	benefits of the applicant within eight weeks from the	
	date of presentation of a copy of the certified copy of this	
	order.	
	Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order ,	
	if applied for, be furnished to the parties on priority basis.	
	(Dr. Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal)  Member (A) Chairman.	
Skg.		
J. 18.		

	The State of West Bengal & Ors.
	Vs.
Form No.	
	SIBANANDA DEY @ SHIBANANDA DEY.

Case No. <u>OA 331</u>	01 2014	•••••
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
		<u> </u>
	1	1